



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/047,320	03/24/1998		RAYMOND LI	0100.01142	3118
7590 06/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Christopher J. Reckamp				NGUYEN, HAU H	
Markison & Reckamp, P.C. P.O. Box 06229				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wacker Drive				2628	
Chicago, IL 60606-0229				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/047,320	LI, RAYMOND					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hau H. Nguyen	2628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	February 2006.	Maria de Carlos					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u>. </u>	a priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a) (d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 55 0.5.C. § 119(a)	j-(d) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.					
	·						
		in the same of the					
Attach cut(a)		·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 09/047,320

Art Unit: 2628

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed February 06, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-3, 6-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Combs (U.S. Patent No. 5,761,698).

Referring to claim 1, Combs teaches a video graphics and audio processing circuit (Figs. 1 and 4) comprising: a graphics processing circuit (blitter 70, Fig. 4); an audio processing circuit

to a second of the second

Application/Control Number: 09/047,320

Art Unit: 2628

(DSP 74 and audio codec 46, Fig. 1B); a local bus operably coupled to transceive data to and from the graphics processing circuit and the audio processing circuit (bus 61, Fig. 4); and a bus arbitrator operably coupled to the local bus, the graphics processing circuit, and the audio processing circuit (col. 5, lines 25-50), wherein the bus arbitrator interprets incoming data and provides the incoming data to the audio graphics processing circuit or to the video graphics processing circuit, and wherein the bus arbitrator arbitrates outputting data on the bus from the graphics processing circuit and the audio processing circuit (by granting the bus 61 to the graphics processing unit 70 or the audio processing circuit 74 based on their priority) (col. 12, lines 25-35).

In regard to claim 2, Combs teaches the bus arbitrator comprises an address decoder (decoding address range from the CPU) operably coupled to receive an address via the bus (61), to route received data to the audio processing circuit when the address identifies the audio processing circuit and to route received data to the graphics processing circuit when the address identifies the graphics processing circuit (as cited above, and also col. 18, lines 44-56).

In regard to claim 3, Combs also teaches the address decoder comprises control circuitry that generates an output data control signal based on the address and a data command signal (for graphics, col. 20, lines 20-53; for audio, col. 33, lines 42-52).

Claims 6-23, which are similar in scope to claims 1-3, are thus rejected under the same rationale.

a many common separation and the thirty of

Application/Control Number: 09/047,320

Art Unit: 2628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Combs (U.S. Patent No. 5,761,698) in view of Post (U.S. Patent No. 6,546,426).

Referring to claims 4 and 5, although Combs did not disclose an output data switch comprising an audio buffer and a graphics buffer to output data based on the output date control signal, this is what Post teaches. As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, Post teaches a method of parsing audio and video packets to the respective audio and video decoder, and also teaches an audio and video buffer to output corresponding data (Fig. 1C, col. 3, lines 40-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Post in combination with the method as taught by Combs in order to provide an efficient method for processing multimedia streams.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 form.

Maturi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,559,999) discloses routing video data and audio data to the corresponding processing units.

Art Unit: 2628

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 571-272-7787. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

H. Nguyen

6/7/2006

Kee M. Tu

Primary

Kee/M. Tung Primary Examiner

Lie de albemanan des aupuellsbee,